



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,675	09/17/2004	Jeannot Hironimus	258372US6PCT	7095
22850	7590	08/03/2007		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER KOVACS, ARPAD F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/506,675

Applicant(s)

HIRONIMUS ET AL.

Examiner

Árpád Fábián Kovács

Art Unit

3671

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 May 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 29-66 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 29-66 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 5/16/2007, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Thomas B. Will/

Thomas B. Will

*Drawings*

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the “carrying vehicle ... rear wheels ... sliding ... translationally move each rear wheel” (cl 58) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered. Therefore, this feature was not examined on the merit.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as “amended.” If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either “Replacement Sheet” or “New Sheet” pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 29-48, 58-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krone et al (US20020170723A1).

cl. 29, 31, 32: agricultural vehicle including a carrying vehicle (2), plural working units (fig 1), the machine comprising:

at least two front work units (see drawing below),

at least two lateral work units & outside a work area of the front units (see drawing below);

the front & lateral work units can be moved into transport (raised) and work position (operating) (see ¶13);

cl. 30-32, 59-64: the lateral/side units arranged backwards from the front units & offset & outside (see drawing below);


cl. 33-34, 38-41: pivoting at least one work unit by an axis in the direction of the forward travel (see drawing below) into horizontal & vertical positions, an operating member or hydraulic cylinders that connects a carrying arm to a hitching structure in the inherent sliding manner (¶13 & 14):

"The mowing and processing units 8 on either side of the support vehicle 2 are connected to the support vehicle 2 by means of boom and

Art Unit: 3671

support arms 13, 14, connected in a pivotable and lockable way to the support vehicle 2, and an adjoining articulation 15, and, in this way, they can be moved back and forth between a horizontal working and operating position near the ground surface and a substantially vertical transport position as is generally known in the art."

"support arms 13, 14 with hydraulic piston/cylinder arrangements (not illustrated)"



Art Unit: 3671

cl. 35-37: for the at least one front work unit: front hitching device / hitching structure to move the hitching structure in a substantially vertical direction in a sliding manner (support frame 16, rods 17, 18, cylinders 19, 20):

"a support frame 16, vertically adjustably connected with the support vehicle 2, as well as additional pivotable connecting rods 17, 18 and hydraulic piston-cylinder arrangements 19, 20 from a working and operating position near the ground into a raised transport position parallel to the ground and back into the working and operating position"

cl. 42-43: rear hitching device to move the hitching structure in a substantially vertical direction (see fig. above);

cl. 44: the two front work units comprise two front work units (see fig above);

cl. 45: two lateral work units (see fig above);

cl. 46: control unit described in ¶14, 15 capable of autonomously managing the movement (via the individual hydraulic cylinders) of the work units;

cl. 47-48: cutting / mowing & conveying / processing device (¶13).

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 49-57, 65-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krone et al (US20020170723A1), in view of Franet et al (US20030024228A1).

Krone discloses the claimed device (see above) except for using belts to convey the agricultural product.

Franet teaches that the crop can be processed or transported by any number of conveying means: conveyor belt, screw conveyor, bar chain conveyor or rolls; in order to, optimize windrow merging, i.e. fewer trips for a chopper vehicle and/or baler (§13-17).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide just at the discharge end of the rollers or can be instead of the pressing rollers of Krone, with the teachings of using conveyor belt(s), in order to save time and energy for a subsequent chopping or baling vehicle by placing the windrows close together. The rational being that, Franet teaches that conveyors are interchangeable, even rolls can be interchanged with belt conveyors; therefore, a person of ordinary skill has good reason to pursue the known options within his or her technical grasp. If this leads to the anticipated success, it is likely the product not of innovation but of ordinary skill and common sense. (KSR v Teleflex)



*Response to Arguments*

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 29-66 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

*Conclusion*

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See form 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Árpád Fábián Kovács whose telephone number is 571 272 6990. The examiner can normally be reached on Mo-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will can be reached on 571 272 6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Arpad F Kovacs/  
Árpád Fábíán Kovács  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3671

AFK